arXiv:1608.03506v2 [nlin.SI] 20 Feb 2017

Darboux integrability of trapezoidal H^4 and H^6 families of lattice equations I: First integrals

G. Gubbiotti[1] and R.I. Yamilov[2]

[1] Dipartimento di Matematica e Fisica, Università degli Studi Roma Tre and Sezione INFN di Roma Tre, Via della Vasca Navale 84, 00146 Roma, Italy
E-mail: gubbiotti@mat.uniroma3.it
[2] Institute of Mathematics, Ufa Scientific Center, Russian Academy of Sciences, 112 Chernyshevsky Street, Ufa 450008, Russian Federation
E-mail: RvlYamilov@matem.anrb.ru

Abstract

In this paper we prove that the trapezoidal H^4 and the H^6 families of quad-equations are Darboux integrable systems. This result sheds light on the fact that such equations are linearizable as it was proved, using the Algebraic Entropy test [G. Gubbiotti, C. Scimiterna and D. Levi, Algebraic entropy, symmetries and linearization for quad equations consistent on the cube, *J. Nonlinear Math. Phys.*, 23(4):507543, 2016]. We conclude with some suggestions on how first integrals can be used to obtain general solutions.

1 Introduction

Since its introduction the integrability criterion denoted Consistency Around the Cube (CAC) has been a source of many results in the classification of nonlinear partial difference equations on a quad graph. The importance of

this criterion is because it ensures the existence of Bäcklund transformations [1–5] and, as a consequence, of Lax pairs. As it is well known [6], Lax pairs and Bäcklund transforms are associated with both linearizable and integrable equations. We point out that to be *bona fide* a Lax pair has to give rise to a genuine spectral problem [7], otherwise the Lax pair is called *fake Lax pair* [8–12]. A fake Lax pair is useless in proving (or disproving) the integrability, since it can be equally found for integrable and non-integrable equations. In the linearizable case Lax pairs must be then fake ones, even if proving it is usually a highly nontrivial task [13].

In [14] was carried out the first attempt to classify all the multi-affine partial difference equations defined on the quad graph and possessing CAC. In [14] the quad graph was treated as a geometric object not embedded in any $\mathbb{Z}^2$-lattice, as displayed in Figure 1. Then the quad-equation is an expression of the form:

$$Q\left(x, x_1, x_2, x_{12}; \alpha_1, \alpha_2\right) = 0, \tag{1}$$

connecting some *a priori* independent fields x, x_1, x_2, x_{12} assigned to the vertices of the quad graph, see Figure 1. Q is assumed to be a *multi-affine* polynomial in x, x_1, x_2, x_{12} and, as shown in Figure 1, α_1 and α_2 are parameters assigned to the edges of the quad graph.



Figure 1: The purely geometric quad graph not embedded in any lattice.

In this setting, we define the Consistency Around the Cube as follows: assume we are given given six quad-equations:

$$A\left(x, x_1, x_2, x_{12}; \alpha_1, \alpha_2\right) = 0, \tag{2a}$$
$$\bar{A}\left(x_3, x_{13}, x_{23}, x_{123}; \alpha_1, \alpha_2\right) = 0, \tag{2b}$$
$$B\left(x, x_2, x_3, x_{23}; \alpha_3, \alpha_2\right) = 0, \tag{2c}$$
$$\bar{B}\left(x_1, x_{12}, x_{13}, x_{123}; \alpha_3, \alpha_2\right) = 0, \tag{2d}$$

$$C\left(x, x_1, x_3, x_{13}; \alpha_1, \alpha_3\right) = 0, \tag{2e}$$
$$\bar{C}\left(x_2, x_{12}, x_{23}, x_{123}; \alpha_1, \alpha_3\right) = 0, \tag{2f}$$

arranged on the faces of a cube as in Figure 2. Then if x_{123} computed from (2b), (2d) and (2f) coincide we say that the system (2) possesses the Consistency Around the Cube.



Figure 2: Equations on a Cube

In [14] the classification was carried out up to the action of a general Möbius transformation and up to point transformations of the edge parameters, with the additional assumptions:

1. All the faces of the cube in Figure 2 carry the same equation up to the edge parameters.

2. The quad-equation (1) possesses the D_4 discrete symmetries:

$$\begin{aligned} Q\left(x, x_1, x_2, x_{12}; \alpha_1, \alpha_2\right) &= \mu Q\left(x, x_2, x_1, x_{12}; \alpha_2, \alpha_1\right) \\ &= \mu' Q\left(x_1, x, x_{12}, x_2; \alpha_1, \alpha_2\right), \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

   where $\mu, \mu' \in \{ \pm 1 \}$.

3. The system (2) possesses the *tetrahedron property*, i.e. x_{123} is independent of x:

$$x_{123} = x_{123}\left(x, x_1, x_2, x_3; \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3\right) \implies \frac{\partial x_{123}}{\partial x} = 0. \tag{4}$$

The result was then two classes of discrete autonomous equations : the H and Q equations.

Releasing the hypothesis that every face of the cube carried the same equation, the same authors in [15] presented some new equations without classification purposes.

A complete classification in this extended setting was then accomplished by R. Boll in a series of papers culminating in his Ph.D. thesis [16–18]. In these papers the classification of all the consistent sextuples of partial difference equations on the quad graph, i.e. systems of the form (2), has been carried out. The only technical assumption used in [16–18] is the tetrahedron property. The obtained equations may fall into three disjoint families depending on their bi-quadratics:

$$h_{ij} = \frac{\partial Q}{\partial y_k}\frac{\partial Q}{\partial y_l} - Q\frac{\partial^2 Q}{\partial y_k\,\partial y_l}, \qquad Q = Q\left(y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4; \alpha_1, \alpha_2\right), \tag{5}$$

where we use a special notation for variables of Q, and the pair $\{k, l\}$ is the complement of the pair $\{i, j\}$ in $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$. A bi-quadratic is called *degenerate* if it contains linear factors of the form $y_i - c$, where c is a constant, otherwise a bi-quadratic is called *non-degenerate*. The three families are classified depending on how many bi-quadratics are degenerate:

- Q-type equations: all the bi-quadratics are nondegenerate,
- H^4-type equations: four bi-quadratics are degenerate,
- H^6-type equations: all of the six bi-quadratics are degenerate.

Let us notice that the Q family is the same as that which was introduced in [14]. The H^4 equations are divided into two subclasses: *rhombic* and *trapezoidal*, depending on their discrete symmetries.

We remark that all classification results hold locally in the sense that they relate to a single quadrilateral cell or a single cube displayed in Figures 1 and 2. The important problem of embedding these results into a two- or three-dimensional lattice, with preservation of the three-dimensional consistency condition, was already discussed in [15, 19] by using the concept of a Black and White lattice. One way to solve this problem is to embed (1) into a $\mathbb{Z}^2$-lattice with an elementary cell of size greater than one. In this case, the quad-equation (1) can be extended to a lattice, and the lattice equation becomes integrable or linearizable. To this end, following [16–18], we reflect

the square with respect to the normal to its right and top sides and then complete a 2×2 lattice by again reflecting one of the obtained squares in the other direction. Such procedure is graphically described in Figure 3.



Figure 3: The "four stripe" lattice.

This corresponds to constructing three equations obtained from (1) by flipping its arguments:

$$Q = Q(x, x_1, x_2, x_{12}; \alpha_1, \alpha_2) = 0, \tag{6a}$$

$$|Q = Q(x_1, x, x_{12}, x_2; \alpha_1, \alpha_2) = 0, \tag{6b}$$

$$\underline{Q} = Q(x_2, x_{12}, x, x_1; \alpha_1, \alpha_2) = 0, \tag{6c}$$

$$|\underline{Q} = Q(x_{12}, x_2, x_1, x; \alpha_1, \alpha_2) = 0. \tag{6d}$$

By paving the whole $\mathbb{Z}^2$ with such equations, we get a partial difference equation which can be in principle studied using known methods. Since *a priori* $Q \neq |Q \neq \underline{Q} \neq |\underline{Q}$, the obtained lattice will be a four stripe lattice, i.e. an extension of the Black and White lattice considered, for example, in [15,19,20]. This gives rise to lattice equations with two-periodic coefficients for an unknown function $u_{n,m}$, with $(n, m) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$:

$$\begin{aligned} &F_n^{(+)} F_m^{(+)} Q(u_{n,m}, u_{n+1,m}, u_{n,m+1}, u_{n+1,m+1}; \alpha_1, \alpha_2) \\ &+ F_n^{(-)} F_m^{(+)} |Q(u_{n,m}, u_{n+1,m}, u_{n,m+1}, u_{n+1,m+1}; \alpha_1, \alpha_2) \\ &+ F_n^{(+)} F_m^{(-)} \underline{Q}(u_{n,m}, u_{n+1,m}, u_{n,m+1}, u_{n+1,m+1}; \alpha_1, \alpha_2) \\ &+ F_n^{(-)} F_m^{(-)} |\underline{Q}(u_{n,m}, u_{n+1,m}, u_{n,m+1}, u_{n+1,m+1}; \alpha_1, \alpha_2) = 0, \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

where

$$F_k^{(\pm)} = \frac{1 \pm (-1)^k}{2}. \tag{8}$$

This explicit formula was first presented in [13]. For more details on the construction of equations on the lattice from the single cell equations, we refer to [16–19] and to the Appendix in [21].

A detailed study of all the lattice equations derived from the *rhombic* H^4 family, including the construction of their three-leg forms, Lax pairs, Bäcklund transformations and infinite hierarchies of generalized symmetries, has been presented in [19]. On the other hand in [21], by calculating the Algebraic Entropy [22–25], it was suggested that the *trapezoidal* H^4 *and the* H^6 *equations were linearizable*. It has been proved that the rate of growth of the equations belonging to these two families is *linear*, that implies the linearizability, and two explicit examples of linearization have been given. In [13] a particular example, the ${}_tH_1^{\varepsilon}$ equation, was studied and it was found that it possessed three-point generalized symmetries depending on arbitrary functions. This property was later linked in [26] to the fact that the ${}_tH_1^{\varepsilon}$ was *Darboux integrable*. In addition in [26] it was proved that some other quad-equations Consistent Around the Cube, which were known to be linearizable [27, 28], were in fact Darboux integrable. These facts provide some evidence of an intimate connection between linearizable equations with CAC and Darboux integrability.

The scope of this paper is to generalize the result obtained for the ${}_tH_1^{\varepsilon}$ in [13, 26]. Our main statement is the following:

> *Every trapezoidal* H^4 *equation and every* H^6 *equation presented in [16–18] is Darboux integrable.*

The fact that an equation is Darboux integrable is a *formal* proof that it is linearizable, as it will be discussed in more detail in Section 2.

The plan of the paper is the following: in Section 2 we recall the basic facts about Darboux integrability and discuss the methodologies employed in the case of non-autonomous, two-periodic quad equations. In Section 3 we present the first integrals of the trapezoidal H^4 equations and of the H^6 equations. In the Section 4 we present some conclusions and provide an outlook on how first integrals can be used to obtain general solutions.

2 Darboux integrability

In the continuous case, a hyperbolic partial differential equation (PDE) in two variables

$$u_{xt} = f\left(x, t, u, u_t, u_x\right) \tag{9}$$

is said to be *Darboux integrable* if it possesses two independent first integrals T, X depending only on derivatives with respect to one variable:

$$\begin{aligned} T &= T\left(x, t, u, u_t, \ldots, u_{nt}\right), \quad \left.\frac{\mathrm{d}T}{\mathrm{d}x}\right|_{u_{xt}=f} \equiv 0, \\ X &= X\left(x, t, u, u_x, \ldots, u_{mx}\right), \quad \left.\frac{\mathrm{d}X}{\mathrm{d}t}\right|_{u_{xt}=f} \equiv 0, \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

where $u_{kt} = \partial^k u/\partial t^k$ and $u_{kx} = \partial^k u/\partial x^k$ for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$. The method is based on the linear theory developed by Euler and Laplace [29, 30] and extended to the nonlinear case in the 19th and early 20th centuries [31–35]. The method was then used at the end of the 20th century mainly by Russian mathematicians as a source of new exactly solvable PDEs in two variables [36–42]. We note that in many papers Darboux integrability is defined as the stabilization to zero of the so-called Laplace chain of the linearized equation. However it can be proved that the two definitions are equivalent, to this end see [39, 43–45].

The most famous equation, which belongs to the class of Darboux integrable equations, is the Liouville equation [46]:

$$u_{xt} = e^u \tag{11}$$

which possesses the two following first integrals:

$$X = u_{xx} - \frac{1}{2}u_x^2, \quad T = u_{tt} - \frac{1}{2}u_t^2. \tag{12}$$

In the discrete setting Darboux integrability was introduced in [47], where it was used to obtain a discrete analogue of the Liouville equation (11). Similarly as in the continuous case, we say that a quad-equation, possibly non-autonomous:

$$Q_{n,m}\left(u_{n,m}, u_{n+1,m}, u_{n,m+1}, u_{n+1,m+1}\right) = 0, \tag{13}$$

is *Darboux integrable* if there exist two independent first integrals, one containing only shifts in the first direction and the other containing only shifts in the second direction. This means that there exist two functions:

$$W_1 = W_{1,n,m}(u_{n+l_1,m}, u_{n+l_1+1,m}, \dots, u_{n+k_1,m}), \tag{14a}$$
$$W_2 = W_{2,n,m}(u_{n,m+l_2}, u_{n,m+l_2+1}, \dots, u_{n,m+k_2}), \tag{14b}$$

where $l_1 < k_1$ and $l_2 < k_2$ are integers, such that the relations

$$(T_n - \mathrm{Id})W_2 = 0, \tag{15a}$$
$$(T_m - \mathrm{Id})W_1 = 0 \tag{15b}$$

hold true identically on the solutions of (13). By T_n, T_m we denote the shift operators in the first and second directions, i.e. $T_n h_{n,m} = h_{n+1,m}$, $T_m h_{n,m} = h_{n,m+1}$, and by Id we denote the identity operator $\mathrm{Id}\, h_{n,m} = h_{n,m}$. The number $k_i - l_i$, where $i = 1, 2$, is called the *order* of the first integral W_i.

We notice that the existence of first integrals implies that the following two transformations:

$$u_{n,m} \to \tilde{u}_{n,m} = W_{1,n,m}, \tag{16a}$$
$$u_{n,m} \to \hat{u}_{n,m} = W_{2,n,m} \tag{16b}$$

bring the quad-equation (13) into *trivial linear equations* given by (15) [47], namely:

$$\tilde{u}_{n,m+1} - \tilde{u}_{n,m} = 0, \tag{17a}$$
$$\hat{u}_{n+1,m} - \hat{u}_{n,m} = 0. \tag{17b}$$

Therefore any Darboux integrable equation is linearizable in two different ways. This is the relationship between the Darboux integrability and linearization.

The transformations (16) along with the relations (17) imply the following relations:

$$W_{1,n,m} = \lambda_n, \tag{18a}$$
$$W_{2,n,m} = \rho_m, \tag{18b}$$

where λ_n and ρ_m are arbitrary functions of the lattice variables n and m, respectively. The relations (18) can be seen as *ordinary difference equations*

which must be satisfied by any solution $u_{n,m}$ of (13). The transformations (16) and the ordinary difference equations (18) may be quite complicated. However in case of the trapezoidal H^4 and the H^6 equations, we will prove in a future work [48] that also the equations (18), defined by the first integrals, are *linearizable*. Therefore we can use Darboux integrability in order to obtain the *general solutions* of these equations. In Section 4 we will present an example of this procedure for the ${}_tH_1^{\varepsilon}$ equation.

From its introduction in [47], various papers were devoted to the study of Darboux integrability for quad-equations [49–53]. In particular in [49, 51, 53] were developed computational methods to compute the first integrals. In [49] was presented a method to compute the first integrals with fixed l_i, k_i of a given autonomous equation. Then in [51] this method was slightly modified and applied to autonomous equations with non-autonomous first integrals. Finally in [53] it was applied to equations with two-periodic coefficients. In the present paper, we present a further modification of this method for dealing with non-autonomous equations with two-periodic coefficients.

If we consider the operator

$$Y_{-1} = T_m \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{n,m-1}} T_m^{-1} \tag{19}$$

and apply it to the definition of first integral in the n-direction (15b), we obtain:

$$Y_{-1} W_1 \equiv 0. \tag{20}$$

The application of the operator Y_{-1} is to be understood in the following sense: first we must apply T_m^{-1} and then we should express, using the equation (13), $u_{n+i,m-1}$ in terms of the functions $u_{n+j,m}$ and $u_{n,m-1}$ which will be considered in this problem as independent variables. Then we can differentiate in (20) with respect to $u_{n,m-1}$ and safely apply T_m [51].

Taking in (20) the coefficients at powers of $u_{n,m+1}$, we obtain a system of PDEs for W_1. If this is sufficient to determine W_1 up to arbitrary functions of a single variable, then we are done, otherwise we can add similar equations by considering the "higher-order" operators

$$Y_{-k} = T_m^k \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{n,m-1}} T_m^{-k}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}, \tag{21}$$

which annihilate the difference consequence of (15b) given by $T_m^k W_1 = W_1$:

$$Y_{-k} W_1 \equiv 0, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}, \tag{22}$$

with the same computational prescriptions as above. We can add equations until we find a non-constant function[1] W_1 which depends on a *single* combination of the variables $u_{n,m+j_1}, \ldots, u_{n,m+k_1}$. If we find a non-constant solution W_1 of the equations generated by (19) and possibly (21), then we must insert it into (15b) to specify it.

In the same way first integrals in the m-direction W_2 can be found by considering the operators

$$Z_{-k} = T_n^k \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{n-1,m}} T_n^{-k}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}, \tag{23}$$

which provide the equations

$$Z_{-k} W_2 \equiv 0, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{24}$$

In the case of non-autonomous equations with two-periodic coefficients, we can assume that a decomposition analogue of the quad-equation (7) holds for the first integrals:

$$\begin{aligned} W_i = {} & F_n^{(+)} F_m^{(+)} W_i^{(+,+)} + F_n^{(-)} F_m^{(+)} W_i^{(-,+)} \\ & + F_n^{(+)} F_m^{(-)} W_i^{(+,-)} + F_n^{(-)} F_m^{(-)} W_i^{(-,-)}, \end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

with $F_k^{(\pm)}$ given by (8). We can then derive from (22,24) a set of equations for the functions $W_i^{(\pm,\pm)}$ by considering the even/odd points on the lattice. The final form of the functions W_i will be then fixed by substituting in (15) and separating again.

As an example let us consider the problem of finding the first integrals of the ${}_tH_1^\varepsilon$ equation which was solved in [26] by direct inspection:

$$\begin{aligned} {}_tH_1^\varepsilon \colon \ & (u_{n,m} - u_{n+1,m}) (u_{n,m+1} - u_{n+1,m+1}) \\ & - \alpha_2 \varepsilon^2 \left(F_m^{(+)} u_{n,m+1} u_{n+1,m+1} + F_m^{(-)} u_{n,m} u_{n+1,m} \right) - \alpha_2 = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

Since all the H^4 equations and the ${}_tH_1^\varepsilon$, in particular, are non-autonomous only in the direction m, we can consider a simplified version of (25):

$$W_i = F_m^{(+)} W_i^{(+)} + F_m^{(-)} W_i^{(-)}. \tag{27}$$

[1] Obviously constant functions are trivial first integrals.

If we assume that $W_1 = W_{1,n,m}\left(u_{n,m}, u_{n+1,m}\right)$, then, separating the even and odd terms with respect to m in (20), we find the following equations:

$$\frac{\partial W_1^{(+)}}{\partial u_{n+1,m}} + \frac{\partial W_1^{(+)}}{\partial u_{n,m}} = 0, \tag{28a}$$

$$\left(1+\varepsilon^2 u_{n+1,m}^2\right)\frac{\partial W_1^{(-)}}{\partial u_{n+1,m}} + \left(1+\varepsilon^2 u_{n,m}^2\right)\frac{\partial W_1^{(-)}}{\partial u_{n,m}} = 0. \tag{28b}$$

Their solution is:

$$W_1 = F_m^{(+)} F\left(u_{n+1,m} - u_{n,m}\right) + F_m^{(-)} G\left(\frac{u_{n+1,m} - u_{n,m}}{1+\varepsilon^2 u_{n,m} u_{n+1,m}}\right), \tag{29}$$

where F and G are arbitrary functions. Inserting (29) into the difference equation (15b), we obtain that F and G must satisfy the following identity:

$$G\left(\xi\right) = F\left(\frac{\alpha_2}{\xi}\right). \tag{30}$$

This yields the first integral

$$W_1 = F_m^{(+)} F\left(\frac{\alpha_2}{u_{n+1,m} - u_{n,m}}\right) + F_m^{(-)} F\left(\frac{u_{n+1,m} - u_{n,m}}{1+\varepsilon^2 u_{n,m} u_{n+1,m}}\right). \tag{31}$$

For the m-direction we may also suppose that our first integral $W_2 = W_{2,m}\left(u_{n,m}, u_{n,m+1}\right)$ is of the first order or a *two-point* first integral. It easy to see from (24) with $k=1$ that this yields only the trivial solution $W_2 =$ constant. Therefore we consider the case of a second order, *three-point* first integral: $W_2 = W_{2,m}\left(u_{n,m-1}, u_{n,m}, u_{n,m+1}\right)$. From (24) with $k=1$, separating the even and odd terms with respect to m, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_2\left(1+\varepsilon^2 u_{n,m+1}^2\right)\frac{\partial W_2^{(+)}}{\partial u_{n,m+1}} - \left[\left(u_{n,m} - u_{n+1,m}\right)^2 + \varepsilon^2\alpha_2^2\right]\frac{\partial W_2^{(+)}}{\partial u_{n,m}} \\ + \alpha_2\left(1+\varepsilon^2 u_{n,m-1}^2\right)\frac{\partial W_2^{(+)}}{\partial u_{n,m-1}} = 0,\end{aligned} \tag{32a}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_2\left(1+\varepsilon^2 u_{n+1,m}^2\right)\frac{\partial W_2^{(-)}}{\partial u_{n,m+1}} - \left(u_{n,m} - u_{n+1,m}\right)^2\frac{\partial W_2^{(-)}}{\partial u_{n,m}} \\ + \alpha_2\left(1+\varepsilon^2 u_{n+1,m}^2\right)\frac{\partial W_2^{(-)}}{\partial u_{n,m-1}} = 0.\end{aligned} \tag{32b}$$

Taking the coefficients with respect to $u_{n+1,m}$ and then solving, we have:

$$W_2 = F_m^{(+)} F\left(\frac{1+\varepsilon^2 u_{n,m+1}u_{n,m-1}}{u_{n,m+1}-u_{n,m-1}}\right) + F_m^{(-)} G\left(u_{n,m+1}-u_{n,m-1}\right). \tag{33}$$

Inserting (33) into (15a) we do not have any further restriction on the form of the first integral. So we conclude that we have two independent first integrals in the m-direction, as it was observed in [26].

The fact that, when successful, the above procedure gives arbitrary functions has to be understood as a restatement of the trivial property that any autonomous function of a first integral is again a first integral. So, in general, one does not need first integrals depending on arbitrary functions. Therefore we can take these arbitrary functions in the first integrals to be *linear* function in their arguments.

With these simplifying assumptions we can consider the first integrals for the ${}_tH_1^\varepsilon$ equation as given by:

$$W_1 = F_m^{(+)}\frac{\alpha_2}{u_{n+1,m}-u_{n,m}} + F_m^{(-)}\frac{u_{n+1,m}-u_{n,m}}{1+\varepsilon^2 u_{n,m}u_{n+1,m}}, \tag{34a}$$

$$W_2 = F_m^{(+)}\alpha\frac{1+\varepsilon^2 u_{n,m+1}u_{n,m-1}}{u_{n,m+1}-u_{n,m-1}} + F_m^{(-)}\beta\left(u_{n,m+1}-u_{n,m-1}\right), \tag{34b}$$

where α and β are two arbitrary constants. This was the form in which the first integrals for the ${}_tH_1^\varepsilon$ equation (26) were presented in [26]. In what follows we will write down the first integrals according to above prescription.

In next Section we present the explicit form of first integrals for the remaining H^4 and H^6 equations computed by the method presented in this Section. We will not present the details of the calculations, since they are algorithmic and they can be implemented in any Computer Algebra System available (we have implemented them in `Maple`).

3 First integrals for the H^4 and H^6 equations

In this Section we consider the ${}_tH_2^\varepsilon$, ${}_tH_3^\varepsilon$ equations and the whole family of the H^6 equations. As we stated in Introduction, these equations belong to the classification of Boll [15–18], and we will consider their non-autonomous form as given in [21].

3.1 Trapezoidal H^4 equations

We consider the trapezoidal ${}_tH_2^\varepsilon$, ${}_tH_3^\varepsilon$ equations which, in non-autonomous form [21], read as:

$$\begin{aligned}
{}_tH_2\colon\ & (u_{n,m}-u_{n+1,m})\,(u_{n,m+1}-u_{n+1,m+1}) \\
& +\alpha_2\,(u_{n,m}+u_{n+1,m}+u_{n,m+1}+u_{n+1,m+1}) \\
& +\frac{\varepsilon\alpha_2}{2}\left(2F_m^{(+)}u_{n,m+1}+2\alpha_3+\alpha_2\right)\left(2F_m^{(+)}u_{n+1,m+1}+2\alpha_3+\alpha_2\right) \\
& +\frac{\varepsilon\alpha_2}{2}\left(2F_m^{(-)}u_{n,m}+2\alpha_3+\alpha_2\right)\left(2F_m^{(-)}u_{n+1,m}+2\alpha_3+\alpha_2\right) \\
& +(\alpha_3+\alpha_2)^2-\alpha_3^2-2\varepsilon\alpha_2\alpha_3\,(\alpha_3+\alpha_2)=0, \qquad (35a)\\
{}_tH_3\colon\ & \alpha_2\,(u_{n,m}u_{n+1,m+1}+u_{n+1,m}u_{n,m+1}) \\
& -(u_{n,m}u_{n,m+1}+u_{n+1,m}u_{n+1,m+1})-\alpha_3\left(\alpha_2^2-1\right)\delta^2 \\
& -\frac{\varepsilon^2(\alpha_2^2-1)}{\alpha_3\alpha_2}\left(F_m^{(+)}u_{n,m+1}u_{n+1,m+1}+F_m^{(-)}u_{n,m}u_{n+1,m}\right)=0, \qquad (35b)
\end{aligned}$$

where $F_m^{(\pm)}$ are defined by (8).

We now present the first integrals of (35) in both directions.

3.1.1 ${}_tH_2^\varepsilon$ equation

Let us consider the ${}_tH_2^\varepsilon$ equation as it is given by (35a). It has a four-point, third order first integral in the n-direction:

$$\begin{aligned}
W_1 =\ & F_m^{(+)}\frac{(-u_{n+1,m}+u_{n-1,m})\,(u_{n,m}-u_{n+2,m})}{\varepsilon^2\alpha_2^4+4\varepsilon\alpha_2^3+\left[(8\alpha_3-2u_{n,m}-2u_{n+1,m})\,\varepsilon-1\right]\alpha_2^2+(u_{n,m}-u_{n+1,m})^2} \\
& -F_m^{(-)}\frac{(-u_{n+1,m}+u_{n-1,m})\,(u_{n,m}-u_{n+2,m})}{(-u_{n-1,m}+u_{n,m}+\alpha_2)\,(u_{n+1,m}+\alpha_2-u_{n+2,m})}
\end{aligned} \qquad (36a)$$

and a five-point, fourth order first integral in the m-direction:

$$W_2 = F_m^{(+)}\alpha\frac{\left(u_{n,m-1}-u_{n,m+1}\right)^2\left(u_{n,m+2}-u_{n,m}\right)\left(u_{n,m}-u_{n,m-2}\right)}{\left(\left(\alpha_2+\alpha_3+u_{n,m-1}\right)^2\varepsilon-u_{n,m-1}+\alpha_3-u_{n,m}\right)\cdot \left(\left(\alpha_3+\alpha_2+u_{n,m+1}\right)^2\varepsilon-u_{n,m+1}+\alpha_3-u_{n,m}\right)} + F_m^{(-)}\beta\frac{\left[\begin{array}{c} -\varepsilon\left(u_{n,m-2}-u_{n,m+2}\right)u_{n,m}^2-\left(\alpha_3+\alpha_2\right)^2\left(u_{n,m-2}-u_{n,m+2}\right)\varepsilon \\ +\left(-2\left(u_{n,m-2}-u_{n,m+2}\right)\left(\alpha_3+\alpha_2\right)\varepsilon+u_{n,m-1}-u_{n,m+2}-u_{n,m+1}+u_{n,m-2}\right)u_{n,m} \\ +\left(-\alpha_3+u_{n,m+1}\right)u_{n,m-2}+u_{n,m+2}\left(\alpha_3-u_{n,m-1}\right)\end{array}\right]}{\left(-u_{n,m+2}+u_{n,m}\right)\left(-u_{n,m-2}+u_{n,m}\right)\left(u_{n,m-1}-u_{n,m+1}\right)} \tag{36b}$$

Remark 1: We note that ${}_tH_2^{\varepsilon}$ equation possesses an autonomous sub-case when $\varepsilon = 0$. We denote this sub-case by ${}_tH_2^{\varepsilon=0}$. In this sub-case the equations defining the first integrals become singular and so the first integrals become simpler:

$$W_1^{\varepsilon=0} = (-1)^m\,\frac{2\alpha_2-u_{n-1,m}+2u_{n,m}-u_{n+1,m}}{u_{n-1,m}-u_{n+1,m}}, \tag{37a}$$

$$W_2^{\varepsilon=0} = \frac{\left(u_{n,m+1}-u_{n,m-1}\right)\left(u_{n,m+2}-u_{n,m}\right)}{u_{n,m}+u_{n,m+1}-\alpha_3}. \tag{37b}$$

The first integral in the n-direction (37a) is still non-autonomous, although the equation is autonomous, but now it is a three-point, second order first integral. On the contrary, the first integral in the m-direction (37b) is a four-point, third order first integral.

Finally we note that the ${}_tH_2^{\varepsilon=0}$ equation is related to the equation (1) from *List 3* in [51]:

$$\left(\hat{u}_{n+1,m+1}-\hat{u}_{n+1,m}\right)\left(\hat{u}_{n,m}-\hat{u}_{n,m+1}\right)+\hat{u}_{n,m}+\hat{u}_{n+1,m}+\hat{u}_{n,m+1}+\hat{u}_{n+1,m+1}=0 \tag{38}$$

through the transformation:

$$u_{n,m} = -\alpha_2\hat{u}_{m,n}+\frac{1}{4}\alpha_2+\frac{1}{2}\alpha_3. \tag{39}$$

Note that in this formula (39) the two lattice variables are *exchanged*. So it was already known in the literature that the ${}_tH_2^{\varepsilon=0}$ equation was Darboux integrable.

3.1.2 ${}_tH_3^{\varepsilon}$ equation

Let us consider the ${}_tH_3^{\varepsilon}$ equation as given by (35b). It has a four-point, third order first integral in the n-direction:

$$W_1 = F_m^{(+)} \frac{\left(u_{n-1,m} - u_{n+1,m}\right)\left(-u_{n+2,m} + u_{n,m}\right)}{{\alpha_2}^4 \varepsilon^2 \delta^2 - {\alpha_2}^3 u_{n+1,m} u_{n,m} + \left({u_{n,m}}^2 + u_{n+1,m}^2 - 2\varepsilon^2\delta^2\right){\alpha_2}^2 - \alpha_2 u_{n,m} u_{n+1,m} + \varepsilon^2\delta^2} - F_m^{(-)} \frac{\left(u_{n+1,m} - u_{n-1,m}\right)\left(u_{n+2,m} - u_{n,m}\right)}{\alpha_2\left(-u_{n-1,m} + \alpha_2 u_{n,m}\right)\left(-u_{n+2,m} + u_{n+1,m}\alpha_2\right)} \tag{40a}$$

and a five-point, fourth order first integral in the m-direction:

$$W_2 = F_m^{(+)} \alpha \frac{\left(u_{n,m-1} - u_{n,m+1}\right)^2 \left(u_{n,m+2} - u_{n,m}\right)\left(u_{n,m} - u_{n,m-2}\right)}{\left(\delta^2\alpha_3^2 + u_{n,m-1}^2\varepsilon^2 - \alpha_3 u_{n,m-1}u_{n,m}\right)\left(\delta^2\alpha_3^2 + u_{n,m+1}^2\varepsilon^2 - \alpha_3 u_{n,m}u_{n,m+1}\right)} - F_m^{(-)}\beta \frac{\left[\begin{array}{c} -u_{n,m}^2\alpha_3 u_{n,m-1} + u_{n,m}^2\alpha_3 u_{n,m+1} - u_{n,m}^2\varepsilon^2 u_{n,m+2} \\ +u_{n,m}^2\varepsilon^2 u_{n,m-2} + \alpha_3 u_{n,m}u_{n,m-1}u_{n,m+2} - \alpha_3 u_{n,m}u_{n,m-2}u_{n,m+1} \\ -\delta^2{\alpha_3}^2 u_{n,m+2} + \delta^2{\alpha_3}^2 u_{n,m-2} \end{array}\right]}{\left(u_{n,m} - u_{n,m+2}\right)\left(-u_{n,m-2} + u_{n,m}\right)\left(u_{n,m-1} - u_{n,m+1}\right)}. \tag{40b}$$

Remark 2: With the same notation as in Remark 1, we note that also the ${}_tH_3^{\varepsilon}$ equation has an autonomous sub-case of the equation ${}_tH_3^{\varepsilon}$ if $\varepsilon = 0$, namely, the ${}_tH_3^{\varepsilon=0}$ equation. In this sub-case the equations defining the first integrals become singular and so the first integrals become simpler:

$$W_1^{\varepsilon=0} = (-1)^m \left[\frac{\alpha_2 u_{n,m} - u_{n-1,m}}{u_{n+1,m} - u_{n-1,m}} + \frac{1}{2}\right], \tag{41a}$$

$$W_2^{\varepsilon=0} = \frac{\left(u_{n,m+2} - u_{n,m}\right)u_{n,m-1} - u_{n,m+1}u_{n,m+2} + \alpha_3\delta^2}{\alpha_3\delta^2 - u_{n,m}u_{n,m+1}}. \tag{41b}$$

The first integral in the n-direction (41a) is still non-autonomous, although the equation is autonomous, but now it is a three-point, second order first integral. On the contrary, the first integral in the m-direction (41b) is a four-point, third order first integral.

Finally we note that the ${}_tH_3^{\varepsilon=0}$ equation is related to equation (2) from *List 3* in [51]:

$$\hat{u}_{n+1,m+1}\left(\hat{u}_{n,m} + b_2\hat{u}_{n,m+1}\right) + \hat{u}_{n+1,m}\left(b_2\hat{u}_{n,m} + \hat{u}_{n,m+1}\right) + c_4 = 0 \tag{42}$$

through the inversion of two lattice parameters $u_{n,m} = \hat{u}_{m,n}$ and the choice of parameters:

$$b_2 = -\frac{1}{\alpha_2}, \quad c_4 = \frac{\delta^2 \alpha_3 \left(1 - \alpha_2^2\right)}{\alpha_2}. \tag{43}$$

So it was already known in the literature that the ${}_tH_3^{\varepsilon=0}$ equation was Darboux integrable.

Remark 3: As a final remark we can say that the first integrals of the ${}_tH_2^{\varepsilon}$ and ${}_tH_3^{\varepsilon}$ equations have the same order in each direction. Furthermore, they share the important property that in the direction m, which is the direction of the non-autonomous factors $F_m^{(\pm)}$, the W_2 integrals are built up from two different "sub"-integrals as in the known case of the ${}_tH_1^{\varepsilon}$ equation.

3.2 H^6 equations

In this Subsection we consider the equations of the family H^6 introduced in [16–18]. We present their non-autonomous form, as it is given in [21]:

$$\begin{aligned}
{}_1D_2: \quad & \left(F_{n+m}^{(-)} - \delta_1 F_n^{(+)} F_m^{(-)} + \delta_2 F_n^{(+)} F_m^{(+)}\right) u_{n,m} \\
& + \left(F_{n+m}^{(+)} - \delta_1 F_n^{(-)} F_m^{(-)} + \delta_2 F_n^{(-)} F_m^{(+)}\right) u_{n+1,m} \\
& + \left(F_{n+m}^{(+)} - \delta_1 F_n^{(+)} F_m^{(+)} + \delta_2 F_n^{(+)} F_m^{(-)}\right) u_{n,m+1} \\
& + \left(F_{n+m}^{(-)} - \delta_1 F_n^{(-)} F_m^{(+)} + \delta_2 F_n^{(-)} F_m^{(-)}\right) u_{n+1,m+1} \\
& + \delta_1 \left(F_m^{(-)} u_{n,m} u_{n+1,m} + F_m^{(+)} u_{n,m+1} u_{n+1,m+1}\right) \\
& + F_{n+m}^{(+)} u_{n,m} u_{n+1,m+1} + F_{n+m}^{(-)} u_{n+1,m} u_{n,m+1} = 0,
\end{aligned} \tag{44a}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
{}_2D_2: \quad & \left(F_m^{(-)} - \delta_1 F_n^{(+)} F_m^{(-)} + \delta_2 F_n^{(+)} F_m^{(+)} - \delta_1 \lambda F_n^{(-)} F_m^{(+)}\right) u_{n,m} \\
& + \left(F_m^{(-)} - \delta_1 F_n^{(-)} F_m^{(-)} + \delta_2 F_n^{(-)} F_m^{(+)} - \delta_1 \lambda F_n^{(+)} F_m^{(+)}\right) u_{n+1,m} \\
& + \left(F_m^{(+)} - \delta_1 F_n^{(+)} F_m^{(+)} + \delta_2 F_n^{(+)} F_m^{(-)} - \delta_1 \lambda F_n^{(-)} F_m^{(-)}\right) u_{n,m+1} \\
& + \left(F_m^{(+)} - \delta_1 F_n^{(-)} F_m^{(+)} + \delta_2 F_n^{(-)} F_m^{(-)} - \delta_1 \lambda F_n^{(+)} F_m^{(-)}\right) u_{n+1,m+1} \\
& + \delta_1 \left(F_{n+m}^{(-)} u_{n,m} u_{n+1,m+1} + F_{n+m}^{(+)} u_{n+1,m} u_{n,m+1}\right) \\
& + F_m^{(+)} u_{n,m} u_{n+1,m} + F_m^{(-)} u_{n,m+1} u_{n+1,m+1} - \delta_1 \delta_2 \lambda = 0,
\end{aligned} \tag{44b}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
{}_3D_2: \quad & \left(F_m^{(-)} - \delta_1 F_n^{(-)} F_m^{(-)} + \delta_2 F_n^{(+)} F_m^{(+)} - \delta_1 \lambda F_n^{(-)} F_m^{(+)}\right) u_{n,m} \\
& + \left(F_m^{(-)} - \delta_1 F_n^{(+)} F_m^{(-)} + \delta_2 F_n^{(-)} F_m^{(+)} - \delta_1 \lambda F_n^{(+)} F_m^{(+)}\right) u_{n+1,m} \\
& + \left(F_m^{(+)} - \delta_1 F_n^{(-)} F_m^{(+)} + \delta_2 F_n^{(+)} F_m^{(-)} - \delta_1 \lambda F_n^{(-)} F_m^{(-)}\right) u_{n,m+1} \\
& + \left(F_m^{(+)} - \delta_1 F_n^{(+)} F_m^{(+)} + \delta_2 F_n^{(-)} F_m^{(-)} - \delta_1 \lambda F_n^{(+)} F_m^{(-)}\right) u_{n+1,m+1} \\
& + \delta_1 \left(F_n^{(-)} u_{n,m} u_{n,m+1} + F_n^{(+)} u_{n+1,m} u_{n+1,m+1}\right) \\
& + F_m^{(-)} u_{n,m+1} u_{n+1,m+1} + F_m^{(+)} u_{n,m} u_{n+1,m} - \delta_1 \delta_2 \lambda = 0,
\end{aligned} \tag{44c}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
D_3: \quad & F_n^{(+)} F_m^{(+)} u_{n,m} + F_n^{(-)} F_m^{(+)} u_{n+1,m} + F_n^{(+)} F_m^{(-)} u_{n,m+1} \\
& + F_n^{(-)} F_m^{(-)} u_{n+1,m+1} + F_m^{(-)} u_{n,m} u_{n+1,m} \\
& + F_n^{(-)} u_{n,m} u_{n,m+1} + F_{n+m}^{(-)} u_{n,m} u_{n+1,m+1} \\
& + F_{n+m}^{(+)} u_{n+1,m} u_{n,m+1} + F_n^{(+)} u_{n+1,m} u_{n+1,m+1} \\
& + F_m^{(+)} u_{n,m+1} u_{n+1,m+1} = 0,
\end{aligned} \tag{44d}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
{}_1D_4: \quad & \delta_1 \left(F_n^{(-)} u_{n,m} u_{n,m+1} + F_n^{(+)} u_{n+1,m} u_{n+1,m+1}\right) \\
& + \delta_2 \left(F_m^{(-)} u_{n,m} u_{n+1,m} + F_m^{(+)} u_{n,m+1} u_{n+1,m+1}\right) \\
& + u_{n,m} u_{n+1,m+1} + u_{n+1,m} u_{n,m+1} + \delta_3 = 0,
\end{aligned} \tag{44e}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
{}_2D_4: \quad & \delta_1 \left(F_n^{(-)} u_{n,m} u_{n,m+1} + F_n^{(+)} u_{n+1,m} u_{n+1,m+1}\right) \\
& + \delta_2 \left(F_{n+m}^{(-)} u_{n,m} u_{n+1,m+1} + F_{n+m}^{(+)} u_{n+1,m} u_{n,m+1}\right) \\
& + u_{n,m} u_{n+1,m} + u_{n,m+1} u_{n+1,m+1} + \delta_3 = 0,
\end{aligned} \tag{44f}
$$

where the functions $F_k^{(\pm)}$ are given by (8).

We now give formulae for the first integrals of these equations.

3.2.1 ${}_1D_2$ equation

In case of the ${}_1D_2$ equation given by formula (44a), we have the following three-point, second order first integrals:

$$
\begin{aligned}
W_1 = \; & F_n^{(+)} F_m^{(+)} \alpha \frac{\left[(1+\delta_2)\, u_{n,m} + u_{n+1,m}\right] \delta_1 - u_{n,m}}{\left[(1+\delta_2)\, u_{n,m} + u_{n-1,m}\right] \delta_1 - u_{n,m}} \\
& + F_n^{(+)} F_m^{(-)} \alpha \frac{1 + (u_{n+1,m} - 1)\, \delta_1}{1 + (u_{n-1,m} - 1)\, \delta_1} \\
& + F_n^{(-)} F_m^{(+)} \beta \left(u_{n+1,m} - u_{n-1,m}\right) \\
& - F_n^{(-)} F_m^{(-)} \beta \frac{\left(u_{n+1,m} - u_{n-1,m}\right) \left[1 - (1 - u_{n,m})\, \delta_1\right]}{\delta_2 + u_{n,m}},
\end{aligned} \tag{45a}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
W_2 \;&= F_n^{(+)} F_m^{(+)} \alpha \frac{u_{n,m+1} - u_{n,m-1}}{u_{n,m} + \delta_1 u_{n,m-1}} \\
&+ F_n^{(+)} F_m^{(-)} \beta \left(u_{n,m+1} - u_{n,m-1} \right) \\
&- F_n^{(-)} F_m^{(+)} \alpha \frac{u_{n,m+1} - u_{n,m-1}}{1 + \delta_1 \left(u_{n,m+1} - 1 \right)} \\
&- F_n^{(-)} F_m^{(-)} \beta \frac{u_{n,m+1} - u_{n,m-1}}{\delta_2 + u_{n,m}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{45b}
$$

Remark 4: We remark that, when $\delta_1 \to 0$, the first integral (45a) is singular, since the coefficient at α approaches a constant. In this particular case, it can be shown that the first integrals are given by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
W_1^{(0,\delta_2)} \;&= F_n^{(+)} F_m^{(+)} \alpha \frac{u_{n+1,m} - u_{n-1,m}}{u_{n,m}} \\
&- F_n^{(+)} F_m^{(-)} \alpha \left(u_{n+1,m} - u_{n-1,m} \right) \\
&+ F_n^{(-)} F_m^{(+)} \beta \left(u_{n+1,m} - u_{n-1,m} \right) \\
&+ F_n^{(-)} F_m^{(-)} \beta \frac{u_{n-1,m} - u_{n+1,m}}{\delta_2 + u_{n,m}},
\end{aligned} \tag{46a}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
W_2^{(0,\delta_2)} \;&= F_n^{(+)} F_m^{(+)} \alpha \frac{u_{n,m+1} - u_{n,m-1}}{u_{n,m}} \\
&+ F_n^{(+)} F_m^{(-)} \beta \left(u_{n,m+1} - u_{n,m-1} \right) \\
&- F_n^{(-)} F_m^{(+)} \alpha \left(u_{n,m+1} - u_{n,m-1} \right) \\
&- F_n^{(-)} F_m^{(-)} \beta \frac{u_{n,m+1} - u_{n,m-1}}{\delta_2 + u_{n,m}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{46b}
$$

Note that, as the limit in (45b) is not singular, then (46b) can be obtained directly from (45b).

If $\delta_1 \to (1 + \delta_2)^{-1}$, then the limit of the first integral (45b) is not singular. However, it can be seen from equations defining the first integral in the m-direction that, in this case, there might exist a two-point, first order first integral. Carrying out the computations, we obtain that if $\delta_1 = (1 + \delta_2)^{-1}$,

the first integrals are given by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
W_1^{\left((1+\delta_2)^{-1},\delta_2\right)} &= F_n^{(+)}F_m^{(+)}\alpha\frac{u_{n+1,m}}{u_{n-1,m}} + F_n^{(+)}F_m^{(-)}\alpha\frac{\delta_2+u_{n+1,m}}{\delta_2+u_{n-1,m}} \\
&+ F_n^{(-)}F_m^{(+)}\beta\left(u_{n+1,m}-u_{n-1,m}\right) \\
&- F_n^{(-)}F_m^{(-)}\beta\frac{\left(u_{n+1,m}-u_{n-1,m}\right)}{\delta_2+1},
\end{aligned}
\tag{47a}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
W_2^{\left((1+\delta_2)^{-1},\delta_2\right)} &= F_n^{(+)}F_m^{(+)}\alpha\left[\left(1+\delta_2\right)u_{n,m}+u_{n,m+1}\right] \\
&+ F_n^{(+)}F_m^{(-)}\beta\frac{u_{n,m}+\left(1+\delta_2\right)u_{n,m+1}}{\delta_2+1} \\
&- F_n^{(-)}F_m^{(+)}\alpha\frac{\left(\delta_2+1\right)u_{n,m}}{\delta_2+u_{n,m+1}} - F_n^{(-)}F_m^{(-)}\beta\frac{u_{n,m+1}}{\delta_2+u_{n,m}}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{47b}
$$

So, unlike the case $\delta_1 \neq (1+\delta_2)^{-1}$, the first integral in the m-direction (47b) is a two-point, first order first integral. On the contrary, the first integral in the n-direction (47a) is still a three-point, second order first integral, which can be obtained from the complete form (45a) by substituting $\delta_1 = (1+\delta_2)^{-1}$.

3.2.2 ${}_2D_2$ equation

In the case of the ${}_2D_2$ equation given by (44b), we have the following three-point, second order first integrals:

$$
\begin{aligned}
W_1 &= F_n^{(+)}F_m^{(+)}\alpha\frac{\delta_2+u_{n+1,m}}{\delta_2+u_{n-1,m}} \\
&+ F_n^{(+)}F_m^{(-)}\alpha\frac{\left(1-\left(1+\delta_2\right)\delta_1\right)u_{n,m}+u_{n+1,m}}{\left(1-\left(1+\delta_2\right)\delta_1\right)u_{n,m}+u_{n-1,m}} \\
&+ F_n^{(-)}F_m^{(+)}\beta\frac{\left(u_{n+1,m}-u_{n-1,m}\right)\left(u_{n,m}+\delta_2\right)}{1+\left(-1+u_{n,m}\right)\delta_1} \\
&- F_n^{(-)}F_m^{(-)}\beta\left(u_{n+1,m}-u_{n-1,m}\right),
\end{aligned}
\tag{48a}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
W_2 &= F_n^{(+)} F_m^{(+)} \alpha \left(u_{n,m+1} - u_{n,m-1} \right) \\
&- F_n^{(+)} F_m^{(-)} \beta \frac{u_{n,m+1} - u_{n,m-1}}{\left(\lambda - u_{n,m} \right) \delta_1 - u_{n,m-1}} \\
&- F_n^{(-)} F_m^{(+)} \alpha \frac{u_{n,m+1} - u_{n,m-1}}{1 + \left(-1 + u_{n,m} \right) \delta_1} \\
&- F_n^{(-)} F_m^{(-)} \beta \frac{u_{n,m+1} - u_{n,m-1}}{u_{n,m+1} + \delta_2}.
\end{aligned} \tag{48b}
$$

Remark 5: We remark that if $\delta_1 \to 0$, the first integral (48a) is not singular. However it can be seen from equations defining the first integral in the n-direction that in this case there might exist a two-point, first order first integral. Carrying out the computations, we obtain that if $\delta_1 = 0$, the first integrals are given by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
W_1^{(0,\delta_2)} &= F_n^{(+)} F_m^{(+)} \alpha \left(\delta_2 + u_{n+1,m} \right) u_{n,m} - F_n^{(+)} F_m^{(-)} \alpha \left(u_{n+1,m} + u_{n,m} \right) \\
&+ F_n^{(-)} F_m^{(+)} \beta \left(\delta_2 + u_{n,m} \right) u_{n+1,m} - F_n^{(-)} F_m^{(-)} \beta \left(u_{n+1,m} + u_{n,m} \right),
\end{aligned} \tag{49a}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
W_2^{(0,\delta_2)} &= F_n^{(+)} F_m^{(+)} \alpha \left(u_{n,m+1} - u_{n,m-1} \right) + F_n^{(+)} F_m^{(-)} \beta \frac{u_{n,m+1}}{u_{n,m-1}} \\
&- F_n^{(-)} F_m^{(+)} \alpha \left(u_{n,m+1} - u_{n,m-1} \right) \\
&- F_n^{(-)} F_m^{(-)} \beta \frac{u_{n,m+1} - u_{n,m-1}}{u_{n,m+1} + \delta_2}.
\end{aligned} \tag{49b}
$$

So, differently from the case $\delta_1 \neq 0$, the first integral in the n-direction (49a) is a two-point, first order first integral. On the contrary, the first integral in the m-direction (49b) is still a three-point, second order first integral, which can be obtained from the complete form (48b) by substituting $\delta_1 = 0$.

Moreover we note that if $\delta_1 \to (1 + \delta_2)^{-1}$, the limit of the first integral (48b) is not singular. However, it can be seen from equations defining the first integral in the m-direction that in this case there might exist a two-point, first order first integral. Carrying out the computations, we obtain

that if $\delta_1 = (1+\delta_2)^{-1}$, the first integrals are given by:

$$\begin{aligned} W_1^{\left((1+\delta_2)^{-1},\delta_2\right)} &= F_n^{(+)}F_m^{(+)}\alpha\frac{\delta_2+u_{n+1,m}}{\delta_2+u_{n-1,m}} \\ &+ F_n^{(+)}F_m^{(-)}\alpha\frac{u_{n+1,m}}{u_{n-1,m}} \\ &- F_n^{(-)}F_m^{(+)}\beta\left(u_{n-1,m}-u_{n+1,m}\right) \\ &- F_n^{(-)}F_m^{(-)}\beta\frac{u_{n+1,m}-u_{n-1,m}}{1+\delta_2}, \end{aligned} \tag{50a}$$

$$\begin{aligned} W_2^{\left((1+\delta_2)^{-1},\delta_2\right)} &= F_n^{(+)}F_m^{(+)}\alpha\left[\left(1+\delta_2\right)u_{n,m}+u_{n,m+1}\right] \\ &+ F_n^{(+)}F_m^{(-)}\beta\left[u_{n,m}+\left(1+\delta_2\right)u_{n,m+1}\right] \\ &+ F_n^{(-)}F_m^{(+)}\alpha\frac{\delta_2\lambda-\left(1+\delta_2\right)u_{n,m+1}+\lambda u_{n,m}}{u_{n,m}+\delta_2} \\ &+ F_n^{(-)}F_m^{(-)}\beta\frac{\delta_2\lambda-\left(1+\delta_2\right)u_{n,m}+\lambda u_{n,m+1}}{u_{n,m+1}+\delta_2}. \end{aligned} \tag{50b}$$

So, differently from the case $\delta_1 \neq (1+\delta_2)^{-1}$, the first integral in the m-direction (50b) is a two-point, first order first integral. On the contrary, the first integral in the n-direction (50a) is still a three-point, second order first integral, which can be obtained from the complete form (48a) by substituting $\delta_1 = (1+\delta_2)^{-1}$.

3.2.3 ${}_3D_2$ equation

In the case of the ${}_3D_2$ equation given by (44c), we have the following three-point, second order first integrals:

$$\begin{aligned} W_1 &= F_n^{(+)}F_m^{(+)}\alpha\frac{\left(u_{n-1,m}+\delta_2\right)\left[1+\left(u_{n+1,m}-1\right)\delta_1\right]}{\left(u_{n+1,m}+\delta_2\right)\left[1+\left(u_{n-1,m}-1\right)\delta_1\right]} \\ &+ F_n^{(+)}F_m^{(-)}\alpha\frac{u_{n,m}+\left(1-\delta_1-\delta_1\delta_2\right)u_{n-1,m}}{u_{n,m}+\left(1-\delta_1-\delta_1\delta_2\right)u_{n+1,m}} \\ &+ F_n^{(-)}F_m^{(+)}\beta\left(u_{n+1,m}-u_{n-1,m}\right)\left(\delta_2+u_{n,m}\right) \\ &- F_n^{(-)}F_m^{(-)}\beta\left(u_{n+1,m}-u_{n-1,m}\right), \end{aligned} \tag{51a}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
W_2 \;&= F_n^{(+)} F_m^{(+)} \alpha \left(u_{n,m+1} - u_{n,m-1}\right) \\
&- F_n^{(+)} F_m^{(-)} \beta \frac{u_{n,m+1} - u_{n,m-1}}{\lambda \left(1+\delta_2\right) {\delta_1}^2 - \left[\left(1+\delta_2\right) u_{n,m-1} + u_{n,m} + \lambda\right] \delta_1 + u_{n,m-1}} \\
&+ F_n^{(-)} F_m^{(+)} \alpha \left(u_{n,m-1} - u_{n,m+1}\right) \left[1 + \left(u_{n,m} - 1\right) \delta_1\right] \\
&+ F_n^{(-)} F_m^{(-)} \beta \frac{u_{n,m+1} - u_{n,m-1}}{\left(\delta_2 + u_{n,m+1}\right) \left[1 + \left(1-\delta_1\right) u_{n,m-1}\right]}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{51b}
$$

Remark 6: We remark that if $\delta_1 \to 0$, the first integral (51a) is not singular. However, it can be seen from equations defining the first integral in the n-direction that in this case there might exist a two-point, first order first integral. Carrying out the computations, we obtain that if $\delta_1 = 0$, the first integrals are given by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
W_1^{(0,\delta_2)} \;&= F_n^{(+)} F_m^{(+)} \alpha u_{n,m} \left(\delta_2 + u_{n+1,m}\right) - F_n^{(+)} F_m^{(-)} \alpha \left(u_{n+1,m} + u_{n,m}\right) \\
&+ F_n^{(-)} F_m^{(+)} \beta u_{n+1,m} \left(\delta_2 + u_{n,m}\right) - F_n^{(-)} F_m^{(-)} \beta \left(u_{n+1,m} + u_{n,m}\right),
\end{aligned}
\tag{52a}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
W_2^{(0,\delta_2)} \;&= F_n^{(+)} F_m^{(+)} \alpha \left(u_{n,m+1} - u_{n,m-1}\right) + F_n^{(+)} F_m^{(-)} \beta \frac{u_{n,m+1}}{u_{n,m-1}} \\
&- F_n^{(-)} F_m^{(+)} \alpha \left(u_{n,m+1} - u_{n,m-1}\right) + F_n^{(-)} F_m^{(-)} \beta \frac{\delta_2 + u_{n,m-1}}{\delta_2 + u_{n,m+1}}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{52b}
$$

So, differently from the case $\delta_1 \neq 0$, the first integral in the n-direction (52a) is a two-point, first order first integral. On the contrary, the first integral in the m-direction (52b) is still a three-point, second order first integral, which can be obtained from the complete form (51b) by substituting $\delta_1 = 0$.

Moreover we remark that if $\delta_1 \to \left(1+\delta_2\right)^{-1}$, the first integral (51a) is singular, since the coefficient at α approaches a constant. In this particular case the first integrals are given by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
W_1^{\left((1+\delta_2)^{-1},\delta_2\right)} \;&= F_n^{(+)} F_m^{(+)} \alpha \frac{u_{n+1,m} - u_{n-1,m}}{\left(\delta_2 + u_{n+1,m}\right) \left(\delta_2 + u_{n-1,m}\right)} \\
&+ F_n^{(+)} F_m^{(-)} \alpha \frac{u_{n+1,m} - u_{n-1,m}}{\left(\delta_2 + 1\right) u_{n,m}} \\
&- F_n^{(-)} F_m^{(+)} \beta \left(u_{n-1,m} - u_{n+1,m}\right) \left(\delta_2 + u_{n,m}\right) \\
&- F_n^{(-)} F_m^{(-)} \beta \left(u_{n+1,m} - u_{n-1,m}\right),
\end{aligned}
\tag{53a}
$$

$$W_2^{\left((1+\delta_2)^{-1},\delta_2\right)} = F_n^{(+)}F_m^{(+)}\alpha\left(u_{n,m+1}-u_{n,m-1}\right) + F_n^{(+)}F_m^{(-)}\beta\frac{u_{n,m+1}-u_{n,m-1}}{u_{n,m}} - F_n^{(-)}F_m^{(+)}\alpha\frac{\left(u_{n,m+1}-u_{n,m-1}\right)\left(\delta_2+u_{n,m}\right)}{\delta_2+1} + F_n^{(-)}F_m^{(-)}\beta\frac{u_{n,m+1}-u_{n,m-1}}{\left(\delta_2+u_{n,m+1}\right)\left(u_{n,m-1}+\delta_2\right)}. \tag{53b}$$

We point out that the first integral in the m-direction (53b) can be obtained from the complete form (51b) in the limit $\delta_1 \to (1+\delta_2)^{-1}$.

3.2.4 D_3 equation

In the case of the D_3 equation given by (44d), we have the following four-point, third order first integrals:

$$W_1 = F_n^{(+)}F_m^{(+)}\alpha\frac{\left(u_{n+1,m}-u_{n-1,m}\right)\left(u_{n+2,m}-u_{n,m}\right)}{u_{n+1,m}^2-u_{n,m}} + F_n^{(+)}F_m^{(-)}\alpha\frac{\left(u_{n+1,m}-u_{n-1,m}\right)\left(u_{n+2,m-u_{n,m}}\right)}{u_{n,m}+u_{n-1,m}} - F_n^{(-)}F_m^{(+)}\beta\frac{\left(u_{n+1,m}-u_{n-1,m}\right)\left(u_{n+2,m}-u_{n,m}\right)}{u_{n+1,m}-u_{n,m}^2} + F_n^{(-)}F_m^{(-)}\beta\frac{\left(u_{n+1,m}-u_{n-1,m}\right)\left(u_{n+2,m}-u_{n,m}\right)}{u_{n+1,m}+u_{n+2,m}}, \tag{54a}$$

$$W_2 = F_n^{(+)}F_m^{(+)}\alpha\frac{\left(u_{n,m+1}-u_{n,m-1}\right)\left(u_{n,m+2}-u_{n,m}\right)}{u_{n,m+1}^2-u_{n,m}} - F_n^{(+)}F_m^{(-)}\beta\frac{\left(u_{n,m+1}-u_{n,m-1}\right)\left(u_{n,m+2}-u_{n,m}\right)}{u_{n,m+1}-u_{n,m}^2} + F_n^{(-)}F_m^{(+)}\alpha\frac{\left(u_{n,m+1}-u_{n,m-1}\right)\left(u_{n,m+2}-u_{n,m}\right)}{u_{n,m}+u_{n,m-1}} + F_n^{(-)}F_m^{(-)}\beta\frac{\left(u_{n,m+1}-u_{n,m-1}\right)\left(u_{n,m+2}-u_{n,m}\right)}{u_{n,m+1}+u_{n,m+2}}. \tag{54b}$$

Remark 7: The equation D_3 is invariant under the exchange of lattice variables $n \leftrightarrow m$. Therefore its W_2 first integral (54b) can be obtained from the W_1 one (54a) simply by exchanging the indices n and m.

3.2.5 ${}_1D_4$ equation

In the case of the ${}_1D_4$ equation given by (44e), we have the following four-point, third order first integrals:

$$\begin{aligned} W_1 \;=\; & F_n^{(+)}F_m^{(+)}\alpha\frac{u_{n+1,m}^2\delta_1+u_{n+1,m}u_{n+2,m}+u_{n-1,m}\left(u_{n,m}-u_{n+2,m}\right)-\delta_2\delta_3}{u_{n+1,m}\left(\delta_1+u_{n,m}\right)-\delta_2\delta_3} \\ & + F_n^{(+)}F_m^{(-)}\alpha\frac{\left(u_{n,m}-u_{n+2,m}+\delta_1u_{n+1,m}\right)u_{n-1,m}+u_{n+1,m}u_{n+2,m}}{\left(u_{n,m}+\delta_1u_{n-1,m}\right)u_{n+1,m}} \\ & + F_n^{(-)}F_m^{(+)}\beta\frac{\left(u_{n+1,m}-u_{n-1,m}\right)\left(u_{n+2,m}-u_{n,m}\right)}{u_{n,m}^2\delta_1+u_{n+1,m}u_{n,m}-\delta_2\delta_3} \\ & + F_n^{(-)}F_m^{(-)}\beta\frac{\left(u_{n+1,m}-u_{n-1,m}\right)\left(u_{n+2,m}-u_{n,m}\right)}{u_{n,m}\left(u_{n+2,m}\delta_1+u_{n+1,m}\right)}, \end{aligned} \tag{55a}$$

$$\begin{aligned} W_2 \;=\; & F_n^{(+)}F_m^{(+)}\alpha\frac{\left(u_{n,m+2}-u_{n,m}\right)u_{n,m-1}+\delta_1\delta_3-\delta_2u_{n,m+1}^2-u_{n,m+1}u_{n,m+2}}{\delta_1\delta_3-u_{n,m}u_{n,m+1}-\delta_2u_{n,m+1}^2} \\ & - F_n^{(+)}F_m^{(-)}\beta\frac{\left(u_{n,m+1}-u_{n,m-1}\right)\left(u_{n,m+2}-u_{n,m}\right)}{\delta_1\delta_3-\delta_2{u_{n,m}}^2-u_{n,m}u_{n,m+1}} \\ & + F_n^{(-)}F_m^{(+)}\alpha\frac{\left(u_{n,m}-u_{n,m+2}+\delta_2u_{n,m+1}\right)u_{n,m-1}+u_{n,m+1}u_{n,m+2}}{\left(u_{n,m}+\delta_2u_{n,m-1}\right)u_{n,m+1}} \\ & + F_n^{(-)}F_m^{(-)}\beta\frac{\left(u_{n,m+1}-u_{n,m-1}\right)\left(u_{n,m+2}-u_{n,m}\right)}{u_{n,m}\left(u_{n,m+2}\delta_2+u_{n,m+1}\right)}. \end{aligned} \tag{55b}$$

Remark 8: The equation ${}_1D_4$ has an autonomous sub-case when $\delta_1=\delta_2=0$. In this case the equations defining the first integrals become singular and the first integrals become simpler. If $\delta_3\neq 0$ we have:

$$W_1^{(0,0,\delta_3)}=(-1)^m\,\frac{u_{n+1,m}-u_{n-1,m}}{u_{n,m}}, \tag{56a}$$

$$W_2^{(0,0,\delta_3)}=(-1)^n\,\frac{u_{n,m+1}-u_{n,m-1}}{u_{n,m}}. \tag{56b}$$

They are both non-autonomous, three-point, second order first integrals. Notice that, since this sub-case is such that the equation has the discrete symmetry $n\leftrightarrow m$, the first integral $W_2^{(0,0,\delta_3)}$ can be obtained from $W_1^{(0,0,\delta_3)}$ by using such transformation.

Moreover we notice that the case $\delta_1 = \delta_2 = 0$ $\delta_3 \neq 0$ is linked to the equation (4) with $b_3 = 1$ of *List 3* in [51]:

$$\hat{u}_{n+1,m+1}\hat{u}_{n,m} + \hat{u}_{n+1,m}\hat{u}_{n,m+1} + 1 = 0 \tag{57}$$

through the transformation $u_{n,m} = \sqrt{\delta_3}\hat{u}_{n,m}$.

We finally notice that the sub-case where $\delta_1 = \delta_2 = \delta_3 = 0$ possesses two two-point, first order, non-autonomous first integrals:

$$W_1^{(0,0,0)} = (-1)^m \frac{u_{n+1,m}}{u_{n,m}}, \quad W_2^{(0,0,0)} = (-1)^n \frac{u_{n,m+1}}{u_{n,m}}. \tag{58}$$

The resulting equation is linked to one of the linearizable and Darboux integrable cases presented in [26, 28].

3.2.6 ${}_2D_4$ equation

In the case of the ${}_2D_4$ equation given by (44f), we have the following four-point, third order first integrals:

$$\begin{aligned}
W_1 \;=\; & F_n^{(+)}F_m^{(+)}\alpha\frac{\left[\begin{array}{c}\left(u_{n,m}-u_{n+2,m}-\delta_1\delta_2u_{n-1,m}\right)u_{n+1,m}^2\\ +u_{n+1,m}u_{n+2,m}u_{n-1,m}+\delta_3u_{n-1,m}\end{array}\right]}{\left(\delta_2u_{n+1,m}^2\delta_1-\delta_3-u_{n,m}u_{n+1,m}\right)u_{n-1,m}} \\
& - F_n^{(+)}F_m^{(-)}\alpha\frac{u_{n+2,m}u_{n-1,m}+\left(-u_{n+2,m}+u_{n,m}\right)u_{n+1,m}+\delta_3}{u_{n-1,m}u_{n,m}+\delta_3} \\
& - F_n^{(-)}F_m^{(+)}\beta\frac{\left(u_{n+1,m}-u_{n-1,m}\right)\left(u_{n+2,m}-u_{n,m}\right)u_{n,m}}{u_{n+2,m}\left(\delta_2\delta_1{u_{n,m}}^2-u_{n,m}u_{n+1,m}-\delta_3\right)} \\
& + F_n^{(-)}F_m^{(-)}\beta\frac{\left(u_{n+1,m}-u_{n-1,m}\right)\left(u_{n+2,m}-u_{n,m}\right)}{u_{n+1,m}u_{n+2,m}+\delta_3},
\end{aligned} \tag{59a}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
W_2 \;=\; & F_n^{(+)}F_m^{(+)}\alpha\frac{\left(u_{n,m+2}-u_{n,m}\right)u_{n,m-1}+\delta_1\delta_3-\delta_2u_{n,m+1}^2-u_{n,m+1}u_{n,m+2}}{\delta_1\delta_3-\delta_2u_{n,m+1}^2-u_{n,m}u_{n,m+1}} \\
& - F_n^{(+)}F_m^{(-)}\beta\frac{\left(u_{n,m+1}-u_{n,m-1}\right)\left(u_{n,m+2}-u_{n,m}\right)}{\delta_1\delta_3-\delta_2u_{n,m}^2-u_{n,m}u_{n,m+1}} \\
& + F_n^{(-)}F_m^{(+)}\alpha\frac{u_{n,m+2}\delta_2u_{n,m}+u_{n,m-1}u_{n,m}+u_{n,m+1}u_{n,m+2}-u_{n,m}u_{n,m+1}}{u_{n,m+2}\left(\delta_2u_{n,m}+u_{n,m-1}\right)} \\
& + F_n^{(-)}F_m^{(-)}\beta\frac{\left(u_{n,m+1}-u_{n,m-1}\right)\left(u_{n,m+2}-u_{n,m}\right)}{\left(\delta_2u_{n,m+1}+u_{n,m+2}\right)u_{n,m-1}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{59b}$$

Remark 9: The equation ${}_2D_4$ has an autonomous sub-case when $\delta_1 = \delta_2 = 0$. In this case the equations defining the first integrals become singular and the first integrals become simpler:

$$W_1^{(0,0,\delta_3)} = (-1)^m \left(u_{n,m} u_{n+1,m} + \frac{\delta_3}{2} \right), \quad W_2^{(0,0,\delta_3)} = \left(\frac{u_{n,m+1}}{u_{n,m-1}} \right)^{(-1)^n}. \quad (60)$$

Therefore this particular sub-case possesses a two-point, first order integral W_1 and a three-point, second order integral W_2. Both integrals are non-autonomous despite the equation is autonomous. The limit $\delta_3 \to 0$ is in this case regular both in the first integrals and in the equations defining them.

Finally we have that the case $\delta_1 = \delta_2 = 0$ corresponds to the equation (9) of *List 4* in [51]:

$$\hat{u}_{n,m}\hat{u}_{n+1,m} + \hat{u}_{n,m+1}\hat{u}_{n+1,m+1} + c_4 = 0, \quad (61)$$

with the identification $u_{n,m} = \hat{u}_{n,m}$ and $c_4 = \delta_3$.

Remark 10: The first integrals of the H^6 equations are rather peculiar. Excluding the autonomous particular cases given in Remarks 8 and 9, we have that all the H^6 equations possess two different integrals in every direction. This is due to the presence of two arbitrary constants α and β in the expressions of the first integrals. We believe that this reflects the fact that the H^6 equations on the lattice have two-periodic coefficients in both directions.

4 Conclusions and outlook

In this paper we have presented a non-autonomous modified version of the algorithm developed in [49, 51, 53] to compute the first integrals of two-dimensional partial difference equations. Applying this algorithm, we have showed that all the equations of the trapezoidal H^4 and H^6 families, as given in [16–18], possess first integrals in both directions, and so have proved that these equations are Darboux integrable. This result confirms the outcome of the Algebraic Entropy test presented in [21].

Furthermore the first integrals, even those of higher order, can be used to find the *general solutions* of these equations. Since this procedure is not

trivial and standard, we reserve its application to a future work [48]. To be concrete, we will give an example on how this procedure can be carried out in the case of the ${}_tH_1^\varepsilon$ equation given by (26), whose first integrals are given by (34) and have been first presented in [26]. We wish to solve the ${}_tH_1^\varepsilon$ equation using both first integrals. We are going to construct those general solutions, slightly modifying the construction scheme from [53].

Let us start from the integral W_1 (34a). This is a two-point, first order integral. This implies that the ${}_tH_1^\varepsilon$ equation (26) can be rewritten as the relation (15b) for the first integral W_1:

$$(T_m - \mathrm{Id})\left(F_m^{(+)}\frac{\alpha_2}{u_{n+1,m}-u_{n,m}} + F_m^{(-)}\frac{u_{n+1,m}-u_{n,m}}{1+\varepsilon^2 u_{n,m}u_{n+1,m}}\right) = 0. \tag{62}$$

From (62) we can derive the general solution of (26) itself. In fact (62) implies:

$$F_m^{(+)}\frac{\alpha_2}{u_{n+1,m}-u_{n,m}} + F_m^{(-)}\frac{u_{n+1,m}-u_{n,m}}{1+\varepsilon^2 u_{n,m}u_{n+1,m}} = \lambda_n, \tag{63}$$

where λ_n is an arbitrary function of n. This is a first order difference equation in the n-direction in which m plays the role of a parameter. For this reason we can safely separate the two cases: m even and m odd.

Case $m = 2k$ In this case (63) is reduced to the linear equation

$$u_{n+1,2k} - u_{n,2k} = \frac{\alpha_2}{\lambda_n} \tag{64}$$

which has the solution

$$u_{n,2k} = \theta_{2k} + \omega_n, \tag{65}$$

where θ_{2k} is an arbitrary function and ω_n is the solution of the simple ordinary difference equation

$$\omega_{n+1} - \omega_n = \frac{\alpha_2}{\lambda_n}, \quad \omega_0 = 0. \tag{66}$$

Case $m = 2k+1$ In this case (63) is reduced to the discrete Riccati equation:

$$\lambda_n\varepsilon^2 u_{n,2k+1}u_{n+1,2k+1} - u_{n+1,2k+1} + u_{n,2k+1} + \lambda_n = 0. \tag{67}$$

By using the Möbius transformation

$$u_{n,2k+1} = \frac{\mathrm{i}}{\varepsilon}\frac{1 - v_{n,2k+1}}{1 + v_{n,2k+1}}, \tag{68}$$

this equation can be recast into the linear equation

$$(\mathrm{i} + \varepsilon\lambda_n)\, v_{n+1,2k+1} - (\mathrm{i} - \varepsilon\lambda_n)\, v_{n,2k+1} = 0. \tag{69}$$

If we introduce a new function κ_n, such that

$$\frac{\kappa_{n+1}}{\kappa_n} = \frac{\mathrm{i} - \varepsilon\lambda_n}{\mathrm{i} + \varepsilon\lambda_n}, \tag{70}$$

then we have that the general solution of (69) is expressed as:

$$v_{n,2k+1} = \kappa_n \theta_{2k+1}, \tag{71}$$

where θ_{2k+1} is an arbitrary function. Using (68) and (70) we then obtain:

$$u_{n,2k+1} = \frac{\mathrm{i}}{\varepsilon}\frac{1 - \kappa_n\theta_{2k+1}}{1 + \kappa_n\theta_{2k+1}}, \quad \lambda_n = \frac{\mathrm{i}}{\varepsilon}\frac{\kappa_n - \kappa_{n+1}}{\kappa_n + \kappa_{n+1}}. \tag{72}$$

So we have the general solution of (26) in the form:

$$u_{n,m} = F_m^{(+)}\left(\theta_m + \omega_n\right) + F_m^{(-)}\frac{\mathrm{i}}{\varepsilon}\frac{1 - \kappa_n\theta_m}{1 + \kappa_n\theta_m}, \tag{73}$$

where θ_m,κ_n are arbitrary functions, ω_n is defined via λ_n by (66), and λ_n is defined via κ_n by (72).

Now we pass to the integral in the direction m, namely, W_2 given by (34b). This case is more interesting, as now we are dealing with a three-point, second order integral. For this problem we can choose $\alpha = \beta = 1$. Our starting point is the relation (18b), i.e. $W_2 = \rho_m$, from which we can derive two different equations, one for the even and one for the odd m. This gives *a priori* a coupled system. However in this case, choosing $m = 2k$ and $m = 2k + 1$, we obtain the following two equations:

$$1 + \varepsilon^2 u_{n,2k+1}u_{n,2k-1} = \rho_{2k}\left(u_{n,2k+1} - u_{n,2k-1}\right), \tag{74a}$$

$$u_{n,2k+2} - u_{n,2k} = \rho_{2k+1}. \tag{74b}$$

So the system consists of two *uncoupled* equations.

The first one (74a) is a discrete Riccati equation which can be linearized through the non-autonomous Möbius transformation:

$$u_{n,2k-1} = \frac{1}{v_{n,k}} + \alpha_k, \quad \rho_{2k} = \frac{1 + \varepsilon^2 \alpha_{k+1}\alpha_k}{\alpha_{k+1} - \alpha_k}, \tag{75}$$

from which we obtain:

$$\left(1 + \varepsilon^2 \alpha_{k+1}^2\right) v_{n,k+1} + \varepsilon^2 \alpha_{k+1} = \left(1 + \varepsilon^2 \alpha_k^2\right) v_{n,k} + \varepsilon^2 \alpha_k. \tag{76}$$

This equation is equivalent to a total difference and therefore its solution is given by:

$$v_{n,k} = \frac{\theta_n - \varepsilon^2 \alpha_k}{1 + \varepsilon^2 \alpha_k^2}, \tag{77}$$

with an arbitrary function θ_n. Putting $\alpha_k = \kappa_{2k-1}$, we obtain the solution for $u_{n,2k-1}$:

$$u_{n,2k-1} = \frac{1 + \kappa_{2k-1}\theta_n}{\theta_n - \varepsilon^2 \kappa_{2k-1}}. \tag{78}$$

The second equation is just a linear ordinary difference equation which can be written as a total difference, performing the substitution $\rho_{2k+1} = \kappa_{2k+2} - \kappa_{2k}$, and we get:

$$u_{n,2k} = \omega_n + \kappa_{2k}. \tag{79}$$

The resulting solution reads:

$$u_{n,m} = F_m^{(+)}\left(\omega_n + \kappa_m\right) + F_m^{(-)} \frac{1 + \kappa_m \theta_n}{\theta_n - \varepsilon^2 \kappa_m}. \tag{80}$$

This solution depends on *three* arbitrary functions. This is because we started from a second order first integral, which is just a consequence of the discrete equation. This means that there must be a relation between θ_n and ω_n. This relation can be retrieved by inserting (80) into (26). As a result we obtain the following definition for ω_n:

$$\omega_n - \omega_{n+1} = \alpha_2 \frac{\varepsilon^2 + \theta_n \theta_{n+1}}{\theta_{n+1} - \theta_n}, \tag{81}$$

which gives us the final expression for the solution of (26) up to the discrete integration given by (81). The general solutions obtained from different first

integrals are the same in the sense that one of them can easily be transformed into the other one.

As a final remark we note that it has been proved in [47] that Darboux integrable systems possess generalized symmetries depending on arbitrary functions of the first integrals. However, in case of the trapezoidal H^4 and H^6, the explicit form of symmetries depending on arbitrary functions is known only for the ${}_tH_1^\varepsilon$ equation (26) [13,26,54]. This poses the challenging problem of finding the explicit form of such generalized symmetries. These symmetries will be highly nontrivial, especially in the case of the ${}_tH_2^\varepsilon$ and ${}_tH_3^\varepsilon$ equations (35), where the order of the first integrals is particularly high.

Acknowledgments

GG is supported by INFN IS-CSN4 *Mathematical Methods of Nonlinear Physics*. RIY gratefully acknowledges financial support from a Russian Science Foundation grant (project 15-11-20007).

References

[1] A. Doliwa and P. M. Santini. Multidimensional quadrilateral lattices are integrable. *Phys. Lett. A*, 233:365–372, 1997.

[2] F. W. Nijohff and A. J. Walker. The discrete and continous Painlevé VI hierarchy and the Garnier systems. *Glasg. Math. J.*, 43A:109–123, 2001.

[3] A. I. Bobenko and Yu. B. Suris. Integrable systems on quad-graphs. *Int. Math. Res. Notices*, 11:573–611, 2002.

[4] F. Nijhoff. Lax pair for the Adler (lattice Krichever-Novikov) system. *Phys. Lett. A*, 297:49–58, 2002.

[5] T. Bridgman, W. Hereman, G. R. W. Quispel, and P. H. van der Kamp. Symbolic computation of Lax pairs of partial difference equations using Consistency Around the Cube. *Found. Comput. Math.*, 13(4):517–544, 2013.

[6] R. I. Yamilov. Symmetries as integrability criteria for differential difference equations. *J. Phys. A.*, 39:R541–R623, 2006.

[7] F. Calogero and A. Degasperis. *Spectral Transform and Solitons I.* North Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1982.

[8] F. Calogero and M. C. Nucci. Lax pairs galore. *J. Math. Phys.*, 32(1):72–74, 1991.

[9] M. Hay. A completeness study on discrete 2×2 Lax Pairs. *J. Math. Phys.*, 50:103516, 29pp, 2009.

[10] M. Hay. A completeness study on certain 2×2 Lax Pairs including zero terms. *SIGMA*, 7:089, 12pp, 2011.

[11] M. Hay and S. Butler. Simple identification of fake Lax pairs, 2012. `arXiv:1311.2406v1`.

[12] M. Hay and S. Butler. Two definitions of fake Lax pairs. *AIP Conf. Proc.*, 1648:180006, 2015.

[13] G. Gubbiotti, C. Scimiterna, and D. Levi. Linearizability and fake Lax pair for a consistent around the cube nonlinear non-autonomous quad-graph equation. *Theor. Math. Phys.*, 1889(1):1459–1471, 2016.

[14] V. E. Adler, A. I. Bobenko, and Yu. B. Suris. Classification of integrable equations on quad-graphs. The consistency approach. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 233:513–543, 2003.

[15] V. E. Adler, A. I. Bobenko, and Yu. B. Suris. Discrete nonlinear hyperbolic equations. Classification of integrable cases. *Funct. Anal. Apll.*, 43:3–17, 2009.

[16] R. Boll. Classification of $3D$ consistent quad-equations. *J. Nonlinear Math. Phys.*, 18(3):337–365, 2011.

[17] R. Boll. Corrigendum classification of $3D$ consistent quad-equations. *J. Nonlinear Math. Phys.*, 19(4):1292001, 2012.

[18] R. Boll. *Classification and Lagrangian structure of* $3D$ *consistent quad-equations.* PhD thesis, TU Berlin, 2012.

[19] P. D. Xenitidis and V. G. Papageorgiou. Symmetries and integrability of discrete equations defined on a black–white lattice. *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.*, 42(35):454025, 2009.

[20] J. Hietarinta and C-M. Viallet. Weak Lax pairs for lattice equations. *Nonlinearity*, 25:1955–1966, 2012.

[21] G. Gubbiotti, C. Scimiterna, and D. Levi. Algebraic entropy, symmetries and linearization of quad equations consistent on the cube. *J. Nonlinear Math. Phys.*, 23(4):507–543, 2016.

[22] M. Bellon and C-M. Viallet. Algebraic entropy. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 204:425–437, 1999.

[23] C-M. Viallet. Algebraic Entropy for lattice equations, 2006. `arXiv:0609.043`.

[24] J. Hietarinta and C-M. Viallet. Searching for integrable lattice maps using factorization. *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.*, 40:12629–12643, 2007.

[25] C-M. Viallet. Integrable lattice maps: Q_5 a rational version of Q_4. *Glasg. Math. J.*, 51(A):157–163, 2009.

[26] G. Gubbiotti, C. Scimiterna, and D. Levi. On partial differential and difference equations with symmetries depending on arbitrary functions. *Acta Polytechnica*, 56(3):193–201, 2016.

[27] J. Hietarinta. A new two-dimensional lattice model that is consistent around the cube. *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.*, 37:L67–L73, 2004.

[28] J. Hietarinta. Searching for CAC-maps. *J. Nonlinear Math. Phys.*, 12:223–230, 2005.

[29] L. Euler. *Institutionum calculi integralis*, volume III. Imp. Acad. Imp. Saènt., 1768.

[30] P-S. Laplace. Recherches sur le calcul intégral aux différences partielles. *Mémoires de l'Académie royale des sciences de Paris*, pages 5–68, 1773.

[31] G. Darboux. Sur les équations aux dérivées partielles du second ordre. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup.*, 7:163–173, 1870.

[32] G. Darboux. *Leçons sur la théorie généle de surfaces*, volume II. Hermann, Paris, 1915.

[33] E Goursat. *Leçons sur l'intégration des équations aux dérivées partielles du second orde à deux variables indépendendantes*, volume I-II. Hermann, Paris, 1896.

[34] E. Gau. Sur lintégration des équations aux dérivées partielles du second ordre par la méthode de M. Darboux. *J. Math. Pures Appl.*, 7:123–240, 1911.

[35] E. Vessiot. Sur les équations aux dérivées partielles du second ordre, $f(x, y, p, q, r, s, t) = 0$, intégrables par la méthode de Darboux. *J. Math. Pures Appl.*, pages 1–61, 1939.

[36] A. V. Zhiber, N. Kh. Ibragimov, and A. B. Shabat. Equations of Liouville type. *Soviet Math. Dokl.*, 20:607–609, 1979.

[37] A. V. Zhiber and A. B. Shabat. Klein-Gordon equations with a nontrivial group. *Soviet Phys. Dokl.*, 24:607–609, 1979.

[38] A. V. Zhiber and A. B. Shabat. Systems of equations $u_x = p(u, v)$, $v_y = q(u, v)$ possessing symmetries. *Soviet Math. Dokl.*, 30:23–26, 1984.

[39] A. V. Zhiber, V. V. Sokolov, and S. Ya. Startsev. On nonlinear Darboux integrable hyperbolic equations. *Doklady Math.*, 52:128–130, 1995.

[40] V. V. Sokolov and A. V. Zhiber. On the Darboux integrable hyperbolic equation. *Phys. Lett. A*, 208:303–308, 1995.

[41] A. V. Zhiber and V. V. Sokolov. New example of a nonlinear hyperbolic equation possessing integrals. *Theor. and Math. Phys.*, 120:834–839, 1999.

[42] A. V. Zhiber and V. V. Sokolov. Exactly integrable hyperbolic equations of Liouville type. *Russian Math. Surveys*, 56(1):61–101, 2011.

[43] I. M. Anderson and N. Kamran. The variational bicomplex for hyperbolic second-order scalar partial differential equations in the plane. Centre de Recherches Mathématiques, Université de Montréal, 1994.

[44] I. M. Anderson and N. Kamran. The variational bicomplex for hyperbolic second-order scalar partial differential equations in the plane. *Duke Math. Journal*, 87:265–319, 1997.

[45] I. M. Anderson and M. Juras. Generalized Laplace invariants and the method of Darboux. *Duke Math. Journal*, 89:351–375, 1997.

[46] J. Liouville. Sur l'équation aux differences partielles $\frac{d^2 \log \lambda}{dudv} \pm \frac{\lambda}{2a^2} = 0$. *J. Math. Pures Appl.*, 18:71–72, 1853.

[47] V. E. Adler and S. Ya. Startsev. Discrete analogues of the Liouville equation. *Theor. Math. Phys.*, 121(2):1484–1495, 1999.

[48] G. Gubbiotti, C. Scimiterna, and R. I. Yamilov. Darboux integrability of trapezoidal H^4 and H^6 families of lattice equations II: General solutions, 2017. In preparation.

[49] I. T. Habibullin. Characteristic algebras of fully discrete hyperbolic type equations. *SIGMA*, 1:23, 2005.

[50] I. T. Habibullin, N. A. Zheltukhina, and A. Sakieva. Discretization of hyperbolic type Darboux integrable equations preserving integrability. *J. Math. Phys.*, 52:093507, 2011.

[51] R. N. Garifullin and R. I. Yamilov. Generalized symmetry classification of discrete equations of a class depending on twelve parameters. *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.*, 45:345205 (23pp), 2012.

[52] S. Ya. Startsev. Darboux integrable discrete equations possessing an autonomous first-order integral. *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.*, 47:105204 (16pp), 2014.

[53] R. N. Garifullin and R. I. Yamilov. Integrable discrete nonautonomous quad-equations as Bäcklund auto-transformations for known Volterra and Toda type semidiscrete equations. *J. Phys.: Conf. Ser.*, 621:012005 (18pp), 2015.

[54] G. Gubbiotti, C. Scimiterna, and D. Levi. The non autonomous YdKN equation and generalized symmetries of Boll equations, 2015. `arXiv:1510.07175`.